

# **Announcement**

## **Audit in Situations of Missing or Incomplete Records**

1. Members of the Institute while carrying out audit assignments might come across a situation where the records of the client are incomplete or destroyed (partially or completely) on account of a natural calamity or otherwise. While guidance on reporting responsibilities of the members in such cases has been provided to the members by way of publications such as Auditing and Assurance Standard (AAS) 28, "The Auditor's Report on Financial Statements", the Statement on Qualifications in Auditor's Report, opinions of the Expert Advisory Committee, and a publication titled, "Study on Audit and Certification in Case of Missing Records", issued by the Institute, the Council, for the benefit of the members, wishes to reiterate the guidance in the following paragraphs.

2. The auditor should, first, obtain a representation from the management that the original accounts are not available for audit. The letter should also include the fact whether the accounts of the entity have been reconstructed by the management. If yes, the extent thereof (partial or complete) and the details of the items of financial statements that have been reconstructed should also be specified in the said letter. In case the accounts have been reconstructed, the members must consider the limitation of scope in audit imposed by the circumstances. Limitation on scope of audit can be of two types, firstly, inability of the management to reconstruct some or all of the items of the financial statements either for the whole financial year or for a certain period during the financial year and secondly, lack of corroborative evidence to support certain or all the entries in the reconstructed accounts. In case of completely reconstructed accounts, the lack of supporting evidence will pose a greater risk of limitation on scope, whereas, for partially reconstructed accounts, both types of limitations, i.e., inability of the management to reconstruct accounts and lack of supporting evidence, can be material. While auditing the reconstructed accounts (partial as well as complete), the auditor should analyse the limitation imposed on application of audit procedures required to be applied in the given situation and use his professional judgment to determine whether to issue an unqualified opinion, qualified opinion or disclaimer of opinion. Further, the fact of

scope limitation must clearly be mentioned in the scope paragraph of the audit report. The AAS 28, “*The Auditor’s Report on Financial Statements*”, in its paragraphs 43 and 44 reproduced below, provides the guidance for the auditor in case of a scope limitation:

*“43. A scope limitation may be imposed by circumstances, for example, when the timing of the auditor’s appointment is such that the auditor is unable to observe the counting of physical inventories. It may also arise when, in the opinion of the auditor, the entity’s accounting records are inadequate or when the auditor is unable to carry out an audit procedure believed to be desirable. In these circumstances, the auditor would attempt to carry out reasonable alternative procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support an unqualified opinion. (emphasis added)*

*44. When there is a limitation on the scope of the auditor’s work that requires expression of a qualified opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, the auditor’s report should describe the limitation and indicate the possible adjustments to the financial statements that might have been determined to be necessary had the limitation not existed.”*

3. Guidance in respect of the matter discussed in the two paragraphs above has been explained in paragraph 45 of the AAS 28 by way of illustrative examples of the scope paragraphs in the audit reports in the cases of qualified opinion and disclaimer of opinion:

*In situations of Qualified Opinion*

*“We have audited .....*

*Except as discussed in the following paragraph, we conducted our audit in accordance with .....*

*We did not observe the counting of the physical inventories as at 31st March 2XXX since that date was prior to the time we were appointed as auditors of .....(Name of the entity). Owing to the nature of the entity’s records, we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to inventory quantities by other audit procedures.”*

*In situations of Disclaimer of Opinion*

*“The paragraph discussing the scope of the audit would either be omitted or amended according to the circumstances.(emphasis added)*

*(Add a paragraph discussing the scope limitation as follows:)*

*We were not able to observe all physical inventories and confirm accounts receivable due to limitations placed on the scope of our work by the entity.”*

4. Paragraph 10 of AAS 13, “*Audit Materiality*”, reproduced below, states that the auditor while auditing the reconstructed accounts must consider the concept of materiality and the audit risk involved with specific account balances and classes of transactions:

*“10. There is an inverse relationship between materiality and the degree of audit risk, that is, the higher the materiality level, the lower the audit risk and vice versa. For example, the risk that a particular account balance or class of transactions could be misstated by an extremely large amount might be very low, but the risk that it could be misstated by an extremely small amount might be very high. The auditor takes the inverse relationship between materiality and audit risk into account when determining the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures. For example, if, after planning for specific audit procedures, the auditor determines that the acceptable materiality level is lower, audit risk is increased. The auditor would compensate for this by either:*

- (a) reducing the assessed degree of control risk, where this is possible, and supporting the reduced degree by carrying out extended or additional tests of control; or*
- (b) reducing detection risk by modifying the nature, timing and extent of planned substantive procedures.”*

5. While auditing the reconstructed accounts, since the auditor would normally find it difficult to obtain internally generated corroborative evidences supporting the reconstructed accounts, the auditor should apply alternative audit procedures such as inquiry and external confirmation as outlined in paragraphs 14 and 15 of the AAS 5, “*Audit Evidence*”, reproduced below:

***“Inquiry and Confirmation***

*14. Inquiry consists of seeking appropriate information from knowledgeable persons inside or outside the entity. Inquiries may range from formal written inquiries addressed to third parties to informal oral inquiries addressed to persons inside the entity. Responses to inquiries may provide the auditor with information which he did not previously possess or may provide him with corroborative evidence.*

*15. Confirmation consists of the response to an inquiry to corroborate information contained in the accounting records. For example, the auditor requests confirmation of receivables by direct communication with debtors.”*

For assessing the reliability of the evidence obtained by the auditor from various sources, the auditor is guided by the principles enunciated in paragraph 7 of AAS 5.

6. The auditor, if he himself was not the auditor in the immediately preceding financial year, must apply the principles laid down in AAS 22, “*Initial Engagements - Opening Balances*” while verifying the figures of opening balances.

7. Paragraph 4 of the AAS 28, “The Auditor’s Report on Financial Statements”, provides that the auditor’s report should contain a clear written expression of opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. An unqualified opinion can be expressed only if the auditor is able to satisfy himself, by way of application of sufficient appropriate compliance and substantive procedures, that the financial statements give a true and fair view. The scope limitation imposed by lack of supporting evidence implies a particular emphasis on obtaining alternative corroborative evidence. However, if the auditor concludes that an unqualified opinion can not be expressed but the limitation on scope is not so material and pervasive as to require a disclaimer of opinion, a qualified opinion should be expressed. Further, a disclaimer of opinion should be expressed when the possible effect of a limitation on scope is so material and pervasive that the auditor has not been able to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence and is, accordingly, unable to express an opinion on the financial statements. Paragraph 45 of AAS 28 illustrates the principles enunciated here above. Having regard to the above, two illustrative formats of reporting by the auditor are given in the paragraphs 8 and 10 below for guidance of the members.

**8. Illustrative audit report where the auditor decides to express a qualified opinion about the true and fair view of the financial statements:**

**I. (Where accounts have been reconstructed for some or all of the items for the whole financial year)**

“We have audited the attached Balance Sheet of ..... (*name of the client*), as at 31st March 2XXX, and also the Profit and Loss Account and the cash flow statement for the year ended on that date annexed thereto. We have been informed by the management that because of \_\_\_\_\_ (*give reason*) \_\_\_\_\_ the original accounts are not available for audit and hence these financial statements have been prepared

from the reconstructed accounts prepared by the management. The accounts as well as the financial statements are the responsibility of the management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit of the accounts.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in India. Those Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We have not been able to obtain corroborative audit evidence supporting following items of the financial statements while auditing the accounts of the entity:

*(State the areas for which the corroborative evidences were not available along with their quantification, to the extent possible and also their resultant effect on the financial statements.)*

Subject to the above, the financial statements give a true and fair view:

- (i) in the case of the balance sheet, of the state of the \_\_\_\_\_ (*name of the client*) affairs as at the end of its financial year;
- (ii) in the case of the profit and loss account, of the profit or loss for its financial year; and
- (iii) In the case of the cash flow statement, of the cash flows for the year ended on that date.”

**II. (where accounts have been reconstructed only for certain period during the year)**

“We have audited the attached Balance Sheet of ..... (*name of the client*), as at 31st March 2XXX, and also the Profit and Loss Account and the cash flow statement for the year ended on that date annexed thereto. We have been informed by the

management that because of \_\_\_\_\_(*give reason*)\_\_\_\_\_ the original accounts are not available for audit and hence these financial statements have been prepared from the reconstructed accounts prepared by the management for the period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ during the financial year. The accounts as well as the financial statements are the responsibility of the management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit of the accounts.

*(Other paragraphs shall be same as in the format given in Part I above.)*

9. If the auditor is satisfied after obtaining a representation letter from the management and considering the results of sufficient appropriate audit procedures that the reconstruction of the accounts of the entity is not possible, he has no other option but to issue a disclaimer of opinion. The auditor should issue a report to the shareholders mentioning therein that it is not possible for him to express any opinion. The format of audit report to express disclaimer of opinion has been suggested in the following paragraph for guidance of the members.

**10. Illustrative audit report in situations where the reconstruction of the accounts is not possible:**

“We were engaged to audit the Balance Sheet of ..... (*name of the client*), as at 31st March 2XXX, and also the Profit and Loss Account and the cash flow statement for the year ended on that date. The financial statements are the responsibility of the company’s management. The management of \_\_\_\_\_(*name of the client*) has informed us that owing to \_\_\_\_\_ (*state the reason for unavailability of records*), the books of account and/ or other related records and documents of the \_\_\_\_\_ (*name of the client*) have been completely destroyed. The management has also informed us that the reconstruction of the accounts is also not possible.

Since we have not been able to examine the books of account as well as the financial statements of \_\_\_\_\_(*name of the client*), we are unable to form any opinion on the financial statements.”

11. Members’ attention is also invited to the opinion given by the Expert Advisory Committee in September 1988 in situation of an audit where the records etc., had been seized by the income tax authorities and released after four years and records were reconstructed for the *interregnum*. The Committee, apart from the opinion on the type of the opinion to be expressed by the auditor in such cases, has also opined that the auditor should not normally rely on the management’s certificate as to the opening balances unless the information therein can be corroborated by other supporting document.